



Educator Effectiveness Research Studies

State and National Research

Public Education Department (2013). *Quality Criteria for District-Developed Common Assessments Used for Teacher Evaluation in 2013-2014*. Retrieved from <http://ped.state.nm.us/AssessmentAccountability/AssessmentEvaluation/EOC/2013/EoC%20District%20Quality%20Criteria%20for%20Teacher%20Eval%202013-14%20FINAL.pdf>

Public Education Department. (2012). *Report # 12-12: Promoting Effective Teaching in New Mexico*. Retrieved from <http://www.nmlegis.gov/lcs/lfc/lfcdocs/perfaudit/Public%20Education%20Department%20%E2%80%93%20Promoting%20Effective%20Teaching%20in%20New%20Mexico.pdf>

National Council on Teacher Quality. (2010). *Blueprint for change: National summary*. Retrieved from http://www.nctq.org/stpy09/updates/docs/stpy_national.pdf.

Williams, Rosin, et. al. (2010). *Gaining ground in the middle grades*. Edsource. Retrieved from <http://www.edsource.org/middle-grades-study.html>.

Public Education Department. (2009). *Report # 09-08: The three-tiered licensure system and the achievement gap* Retrieved from <http://www.nmlegis.gov/lcs/lesc/lescdocs/briefs/August2009/Item%208%20-%20LFC%20Program%20Evaluation%20-%20Achievement%20Gap%20and%20the%20Three-Tier%20System.pdf>

Marvel, J., Lyter, D. M., Peltola, P., Strizek, G. A., & Morton, B. A. (2007). *Teacher attrition and mobility: Results from the 2004–05 teacher follow-up survey*. U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office. Retrieved from <http://nces.ed.gov/pubs2007/2007307.pdf>

Weisberg, D, Sextion, S, Mulhern, J, & Keeling, D. (2007). *The widget effect: Our national failure to acknowledge and act on differences in student achievement*. New York: The New Teacher Project. Retrieved from <http://widgeteffect.org/>.

American Institute for Research. (2006). *An independent comprehensive study of the New Mexico public school funding formula*. Retrieved from <http://www.nmschoolfunding.org/>



Teacher Evaluation Studies

The New Teacher Project (2014). *Shortchanged- The Hidden Costs of Lockstep Teacher Pay*. Retrieved from http://tntp.org/assets/documents/TNTP_Shortchanged_2014.pdf

Atteberry, A., Loeb, S., & Wyckoff, J. (2013). *Do first impressions matter? Improvement in early Career Teacher Effectiveness*. Calder Working Paper No. 90. Washington DC: American Institutes for Research. Retrieved from <http://www.caldercenter.org/sites/default/files/wp90.pdf>

Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. (2013). *Feedback for Better Teaching- Nine Principles for Using Measures of Effective Teaching*. Retrieved from http://www.metproject.org/downloads/MET_Feedback%20for%20Better%20Teaching_Principles%20Paper.pdf

Cantrell, S., & Kane, T. J. (2013). *Ensuring fair and reliable measures of effective teaching: Culminating findings from the MET Project's three-year study (policy and practice brief)*. Seattle, WA: Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. Retrieved from http://www.metproject.org/downloads/MET_Ensuring_Fair_and_Reliable_Measures_Practitioner_Brief.pdf

Goldhaber, D., & Loeb, S. (2013). *What Do We Know About the Tradeoffs Associated with Teacher Misclassification in High Stakes Personnel Decisions?* The Carnegie Knowledge Network. Stanford, California. Retrieved from http://www.carnegieknowledgenetwork.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/04/CKN_2013_04_Goldhaber-Loeb.pdf

Harris, D. (2012). *How do value-added indicators compare to other measures of teacher effectiveness?* Stanford, CA: Carnegie Knowledge Network. Retrieved from http://www.carnegieknowledgenetwork.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/11/CKN-Webinar1_Harris_slides.pdf

Jacob, A., Vidyarthi, E., & Carroll, K. (2012). *The irreplaceables: Understanding the real retention crisis in America's urban schools*. Brooklyn, NY:TNTP. Retrieved from http://tntp.org/assets/documents/TNTP_Irreplaceables_2012.pdf

The New Teacher Project (2012). *Leap Year- Assessing and Supporting Effective first-Year Teachers*. Retrieved from http://tntp.org/assets/documents/TNTP_LeapYear_2013.pdf

Glazerman, S., D. Goldhaber, S. Loeb, S. Raudenbush, D.O. Staiger, G.J. Whitehurst. (2011). *Passing muster: Teacher evaluation systems*. Washington, DC: The Brookings Institute. Retrieved from <http://www.brookings.edu/brown.aspx>



Teacher Evaluation Studies

Glazerman, S., Goldhaber, D., Loeb, S., Raudenbush, S., Staiger, D. O., & Whitehurst, G. J. (2011). *Passing muster: Evaluating teacher evaluation systems*. Brown Center on Education Policy: The Brookings Institution. Retrieved from http://www.brookings.edu/~media/research/files/reports/2011/4/26%20evaluating%20teachers/0426_evaluating_teachers.pdf

Herman, J., Heritage, M., & Goldschmidt, P. (2011). Developing and selecting assessments of student growth for use in teacher evaluation systems. Los Angeles, CA: University of California, National Center for Research on Evaluation, Standards, and Student Testing (CRESST). Retrieved from <https://www.cse.ucla.edu/products/policy/TestScoresTeacherEval.pdf>

Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. (2010). *Learning about teaching. Initial findings from the measures of effective teaching project*. Retrieved from <http://www.metproject.org/>.

Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. (2010). *Working with Teachers to Develop Fair and Reliable Measures of Effective Teaching*. Retrieved from <http://www.metproject.org/downloads/met-framing-paper.pdf>

Glazerman, S., Loeb, S., Goldhaber, D., Staiger, D., Raudenbush, S., & Whitehurst, G. (2010). *Evaluating Teachers: The Important Role of Value-Added*. Brown Center on Education Policy. Washington, DC.: Brookings Institution. Retrieved from http://www.brookings.edu/~media/research/files/reports/2010/11/17%20evaluating%20teachers/1117_evaluating_teachers.pdf

Hetin, L. (2010). *Report six: Six steps for upgrading teacher evaluation systems*. Retrieved from <http://www.edweek.org>.

Kane, T., & Cantrell, S. (2010). *Learning About Teaching: Initial Findings from the Measures of Effective Teaching Project*. Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. Retrieved from http://www.metproject.org/downloads/Preliminary_Finding-Policy_Brief.pdf

New Leaders for New Schools (2010). *Evaluation Principals- Balancing accountability with professional growth*. Retrieved from http://www.isbe.net/peac/pdf/eval_principals.pdf

Southern Regional Education Board. (2010). *The three essentials: Improving school requires district vision, district and state support, and principal leadership*. Retrieved from <http://www.serb.org>.



Teacher Evaluation Studies

Southern Regional Education Board. (2009). *Building the capacity of school leaders and teachers to implement reform at all levels*. Retrieved from http://www.sreb.org/cgi-bin/MySQLdb?VIEW=/public/view_home.txt.

Sterbinsky, A. and Ross, S. (2003). *School observation measure reliability study*. Memphis, TN: Center for Research in Education Policy. Retrieved from <http://www.eric.ed.gov/PDFS/ED500024.pdf>.

Coggsall, J. G. (2006). *Prospects for the profession: Public opinion research on teachers*. Washington, DC: National Comprehensive Center for Teacher Quality. Retrieved from <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED520768.pdf>

Goldschmidt, P., P. Roschewski, K. Choi, W. Auty, S. Hebbler, R. Blank, A. Williams (2005). *Policymakers guide to growth models for school accountability: How do accountability models differ?* Washington, DC: The Council of Chief State School Officers. Retrieved from http://www.ccsso.org/Documents/2005/Policymakers_Guide_To_Growth_2005.pdf

Wenglinsky, H. (2002). *How schools matter: The link between teacher classroom practices and student academic performance*. Retrieved from <http://epaa.asu.edu/ojs/article/view/291/417>

Cohen, D.K., & Hill, H.C. (2001) *Learning policy: When state education reform works*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press. Retrieved from <http://yalepress.yale.edu/yupbooks/pdf/0300089473.pdf>

Sanders, W & Rivers, J. (1996). *Cumulative and residual effects of teachers on future student achievement*. Knoxville, TN: University of Tennessee Value-Added Research and Assessment Center. Retrieved from http://www.cgp.upenn.edu/pdf/Sanders_Rivers-TVASS_teacher%20effects.pdf.



Professional Development

Blank, R.K., & Alas, N. (2009). *Teacher professional development gains in student achievement: How meta analysis provides evidence useful to education leaders*. Retrieved from http://www.ccsso.org/Documents/2009/Effects_of_Teacher_Professional_2009.pdf.

Yoon, K. S., Duncan, T., Lee, S. W.-Y., Scarloss, B., & Shapley, K. (2007). *Reviewing the evidence on how teacher professional development affects student achievement* (Issues & Answers Report, REL 2007–No. 033). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Regional Educational Laboratory Southwest. Retrieved from <http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/edlabs>.

Garet, M.S., Porter, A.C., Desimone, L., Birman, B., & Yoon K.S. (2001). *What makes professional development effective? Results from a national sample of teachers*. *American Educational Research Journal*, Vol. 38, No. 4, pp. 915-945. Retrieved from http://www.imoberg.com/files/Unit_D_ch.24--Garet_et_al_article.pdf

Peterson, K. (2001). *The professional development of principals: Innovations and opportunities*. Paper Commissioned for the National Commission for the Advancement of Educational Leadership Preparation. Retrieved from www.files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED459533.pdf



Teacher Quality and Working Conditions

Center for Teaching Quality. (2013). *Teacher working conditions toolkit*.

Retrieved from <http://www.teachingquality.org/resources/teacher-evaluation>

The New Teacher Project (2012). *Keeping Irreplaceables in D.C. Public Schools- Lessons in Smart Teacher Retention*. Retrieved from

http://tntp.org/assets/documents/TNTP_DCirreplaceables_2012.pdf

Hanushek, E. (2011). *Valuing teachers*. Cambridge, MA: Education Next. Retrieved from

<http://educationnext.org/valuing-teachers/>

Weisburg, D., Sexton, S., Mulhern, S., Keeling, D., (2009). *The Widget Effect: Our National Failure to Acknowledge and Act on Differences in Teacher Effectiveness*. Brooklyn, NY: New Teacher Project. Retrieved from

http://tntp.org/assets/documents/TheWidgetEffect_2nd_ed.pdf

Hirsch, E., Emerick, S, Church, K., & Fuller, E. (2007). *Teacher working conditions are student learning conditions: A report on the 2006 North Carolina teacher working conditions survey*.

Hillsborough, NC: Center for Teaching Quality. Retrieved from

<http://www.teachingquality.org/pdfs/twcnc2006.pdf>

Hirsch, E., & Emerick, S., Church, K., & Fuller, E. (2006). *Arizona teacher working conditions: Designing schools for educator and student success. Results of the 2006 phase-in teacher working conditions survey*. Hillsborough, NC: Center for Teaching Quality. Retrieved from

<http://www.teachingquality.org/pdfs/twcaz2006.pdf>

Johnson, S. M. (2006). *The workplace matters: Teacher quality, retention, and effectiveness*.

Washington, DC: National Education Association. Retrieved from

<http://www.nea.org/research/bestpractices/images/wcreport.pdf>

Hirsch, E. (2005). *Listening to the experts: A report on the 2004 South Carolina teacher working conditions survey*. Chapel Hill, NC: Southeast Center for Teaching Quality. Retrieved from

http://www.teachingquality.org/pdfs/TWC_SCFinalReport.pdf

Rivkin, S., Hanushek, E.A., & Kain, J.F. (2005). *Teachers, schools and academic achievement*. *Econometrica* 73(2): 417-458. Retrieved from

<http://www.econ.ucsb.edu/~jon/Econ230C/HanushekRivkin.pdf>

Ingersoll, Richard. (2003). *Who control's teachers' work? Power and accountability in America's schools*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.